

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,986	12/27/2005	Tetsuya Hidaka	81864.0080	3564
20021 7550 GM17/2008 HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 1999 A VENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			SHEEHAN, JOHN P	
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/562 986 HIDAKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit John P. Sheehan 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which
papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

- 2. The information disclosure statement filed December 12, 2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance of the Chinese language office action for the corresponding Chinese application, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to in the Chinese office action has not been considered.
- 3. The information disclosure statement filed December 27, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. Applicants have not provided a complete copy of each of the cited Japanese Patent Publications, rather applicants have provided only the abstract of these documents. The submission of only the abstract of the underlying foreign language document is not sufficient for the

Application/Control Number: 10/562,986

Art Unit: 1793

record to reflect the submission of the entire document. The abstracts that were submitted have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 to 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ichida et al. (Ichida '867, EPO Document No. 0 753 867 B1, cited in the IDS submitted December 27, 2005) in view of the admitted known prior art disclosed in applicants' specification at page 4, lines 11 to 19.

Ichida '867 teaches an R-T-B sintered permanent magnet having a composition that overlaps the composition recited in the claims including claims 1, 5, 6 and 9. (paragraphs 0009 and 0019). The disclosed magnet has a main phase comprising  $R_2T_{14}B$  grains and a rare earth rich grain boundary phase (paragraph 0003) as recited in all of applicants' claims. Ichida '867 teaches that the crystal grain structure has a size distribution that overlaps that recited in claim 4 (paragraphs 26 and 32). Ichida '867 teaches that the sintered magnet is over coated with a Ni plated layer (paragraph 29

Application/Control Number: 10/562,986

Art Unit: 1793

and Example 1) which is encompassed by all of applicants claims particularly claims 8 and 15

The admitted known prior art disclosed in applicants' specification teaches that during Ni plating to form a Ni plated coating hydrogen is absorbed by the R-T-B sintered magnet.

Ichida '867 and the claims differ in that Ichida '867 is silent with respect to formation of a hydrogen layer.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the sintered R-T-B magnet taught by Ichida '867 has a composition that overlaps the sintered R-T-B magnet composition recited in the instant claims and is made by a process including the Ni plating, which is similar to, if not the same as, applicants' process of making the instantly claimed sintered R-T-B magnet. In view of this, Ichida '867's sintered R-T-B magnet would be expected to posses all the same properties as recited in the instant claims, In re Best, 195 USPQ, 430 and MPEP 2112.01.

"Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established, In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not.' In re Spada,15 USPQ2d 655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Therefore, the prima facie case can be rebutted by evidence showing that the prior art products do not necessarily possess the characteristics of the claimed product. In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977)," see MPEP 2112.01.

Art Unit: 1793

This is particularly true in view of the admitted known prior teaching in the applicants' specification that, during Ni plating the sintered R-T-B absorbs hydrogen. Applicants have not established that the hydrogen layer recited in the instant claims, in fact, distinguishes over the hydrogen layer that would be expected in Ichida '867's sintered R-T-B magnet.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30-5:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,986 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John P. Sheehan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793